

Amendment to RFP # 952, “EBT Services”

The Department of Social Services hereby amends RFP #952 to modify section 6.0, Proposal Evaluation and Award Process by removing the originally posted page 105 and replacing it with the revised page 105 as written below.

All other sections and provisions of the RFP remain intact as posted.

Any and all questions should be posed to the Point of Contact, Mark Close at mark.close@state.sd.us

Dated: January 23, 2018

6 Proposal Evaluation and Award Process

6.1 After determining that a proposal satisfies the mandatory requirements stated in the RFP, the evaluator(s) shall use subjective judgment in conducting a comparative assessment of the proposal by considering each of the following criteria listed in order of importance:

6.1.1 Specialized expertise, capabilities, and technical competence as demonstrated by the proposed approach and methodology to meet the project requirements;

6.1.1.1 Resources available to perform the work, including any specialized services, within the specified time limits for the project

6.1.2 Cost proposal;

6.1.3 Proposed project management techniques;

6.1.4 Ability and proven history in handling special project constraints,

6.1.4.1 Experience and reliability of the Offeror's organization are considered subjectively in the evaluation process. Therefore, the Offeror is advised to submit any information which documents successful and reliable experience in past performances, especially those performances related to the requirements of this RFP.

6.1.4.2 The qualifications of the personnel proposed by the Offeror to perform the requirements of this RFP, whether from the Offeror's organization or from a proposed subcontractor, will be subjectively evaluated. Therefore, the Offeror should submit detailed information related to the experience and qualifications, including education and training, of proposed personnel.

6.1.5 Record of past performance, including price and cost data from previous projects, quality of work, ability to meet schedules, cost control, and contract administration;

6.1.6 Availability to the project locale; and

6.1.7 Familiarity with the project locale.

6.1.8 Evaluation points will be allocated as indicated in the following table:

Evaluation Scoring Table		
Proposal Section and Requirement	Maximum Technical Points	Maximum Pricing Points
Tab 6 - General and Functional Specifications	400	
Tab 6 - Project Management	100	
Tab 7 - Organization & Experience	100	
Tab 7 - References and Corporate Qualifications	100	
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE TECHNICAL AND PRICING SCORE	700	300
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE TOTAL SCORE	1,000	

6.2 The States reserve the right to reject any or all proposals, waive technicalities, and make award(s) as deemed to be in the best interest of the States.

6.3 To determine whether a proposal is reasonably susceptible for award, communications by the States or the proposal evaluation committee are permitted with the Offeror to clarify uncertainties