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State Legislation and Funding Research

Guidehouse conducted research on 988 efforts and legislation in 10 states.

Comparable States

- DBH and Guidehouse agreed to focus research on five comparable states including Alaska, Montana, North Dakota, Nevada, and Utah.
- Like South Dakota, most comparable states have one Lifeline call center (except for Montana, which has two).
- Guidehouse reviewed 988 initiative-related materials from comparable states, including 988 legislation, press releases, and stakeholder coalition materials (if available).

Additional States

- Guidehouse also reviewed 988 legislation from five other key states, including Arizona, Idaho, Indiana, Virginia, and Washington.
- These states are either model states in crisis services or have significant 988-related materials published online.
State Legislation and Funding Research

Guidehouse organized funding approaches commonly outlined in 988 state legislation into four categories:

- State General Funds & Other Non-Fee Approaches
- 988 End-User Fees
- 1115 Medicaid SMI / SED & Demonstration Waivers
- Medicaid Administrative Claiming
State Legislation and Funding Research Summary

Overall, states are at varying stages in 988 design and implementation. While several states have signed 988-related legislation into law, other states have not yet introduced 988-related legislation.

For states which have already adopted a 988 funding approach, the approaches focus on state general funds and other non-fee funding options, end-user fees, and Medicaid reimbursement. Several reviewed states have not adopted a definitive 988 funding approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Approach</th>
<th>AK*</th>
<th>MT*</th>
<th>ND*</th>
<th>NV*</th>
<th>UT*</th>
<th>AZ</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>IN</th>
<th>VA*</th>
<th>WA*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State General Funds &amp; Other Non-Fee Approaches**</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-User Fee</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid Reimbursement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislation Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None Introduced</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsuccessful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signed into Law</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As of 6/15/2021, legislature adjourned or in special session.1

**Includes state general funds; gifts, grants, and donations; interest earnings; and investment income.
State General Funds & Other Non-Fee Approaches

988 legislation passed by states typically included **appropriations from state general funds; gifts, grants, and contributions; and investment earnings and interest**. 7 out of 10 reviewed states included one or all of these funding options in 988 legislation.

These approaches were often used in tandem with end-user fees and Medicaid-focused approaches, including waiver demonstrations and administrative claiming. However, the State of Indiana recently passed legislation that creates a 988 trust fund consisting of only general appropriations, federal funding, investment earnings, and other sources. ²

Reimbursement from commercial insurers is rare – some insurers fund their own hotlines for members.³ However, as more payers recognize behavioral health as a cost driver, opportunities for commercial payer reimbursement may emerge. ⁴
End-User Fees

What Is An End-User Fee?

• End-user fees are collected fees from mobile and landline communications subscribers.
• End-user fees are imposed across mobile communication services, IP-enabled voice services (VoIP), and landline telephone services in the state.
• Similar to 911 end-user fees, 988 end-user fees may differ according to subscription package (e.g., prepaid vs. contract mobile services).

Research Findings

End-user fees were the second most common funding approach. 5 out of 10 states (ID, MT, NV, VA, WA) proposed end-user fees as a funding approach in 988 legislation. Typically, states will deposit funds generated from end-user fees in a trust fund to earn interest, invest funds, and add funds from other sources (e.g., state appropriations; grants; gifts and donations).
End-User Fees: State Spotlights

Montana

• Imposes a surcharge of $0.10/month/line for all access lines and $0.10/transaction for prepaid wireless services.
• Requires communications providers to collect and submit fees to the Department of Revenue via a quarterly return.
• Establishes a statewide 988 account for deposit of all fees received from communications providers. Monies in the fund are used to offset costs associated with 988 implementation, operation, improvement, and expansion.5

Nevada

• Imposes a surcharge on mobile communication services, IP-enabled voice services and landline telephone services not to exceed $0.35/month/line.
• Establishes a Crisis Response Account within the State General Fund. Surcharges are collected from telecommunication companies and providers and transferred to the Account.
• Funds the Crisis Response Account with other approaches, including gifts, grants, donations, interest, and investment earnings.6
Across most states, 911 end-user fees are a primary funding source for 911 services. The fee amount, distribution, and use vary by state. States also rely on other funding sources – some 911 program components are fully funded by fees, but there are no states that fund the entirety of their 911 system on 911 fees.

988 user fee amounts varied across states. **Proposed 988 user fees are substantially lower than most 911 user fees:**

| State            | Proposed 988 User Fee                                                                 | 911 User Fee  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>• Amount not specified, but may not exceed 911 user fee</td>
<td>• $1.25 monthly fee maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>• $0.10 monthly fee, per line</td>
<td>• $1.00 monthly fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• $0.10 fee per transaction (prepaid wireless only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>• $0.35 monthly fee maximum, per line</td>
<td>• Varies by jurisdiction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>• N/A</td>
<td>• $1.25 monthly fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 2% Point of Sale (prepaid wireless only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>• $0.12 monthly fee, per line</td>
<td>• $0.82 monthly fee, per line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• $0.08 fee per transaction (prepaid wireless only)</td>
<td>• $0.55 fee per transaction (prepaid wireless only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>• $0.24 monthly fee, per line (through 12/31/2022)</td>
<td>• $0.95 combined statewide and county fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• $0.40 monthly fee, per line (after 1/1/2023)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Medicaid Reimbursement: State Examples

States have used innovative methods to capture Medicaid matching funds as reimbursement for crisis call services, including for 988 implementation and operations.

988 example

- **Utah**: Under recently passed 988 legislation, the Utah Department of Human Services will pursue a waiver or State Plan Amendment to enable reimbursement for 988 services delivered to Medicaid beneficiaries. ¹¹

Current crisis line examples

- **Arizona**: The Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS) reimburses crisis call center services as a form of telephonic case management. The service must be delivered to Medicaid beneficiaries or those who are eligible to enroll in Medicaid. ¹²

- **New Mexico**: New Mexico’s Behavioral Health Services Division was able to secure Medicaid reimbursement for calls to the state’s crisis line. Half of all callers self-identified as being enrolled in Medicaid; enabling New Mexico to attain a 50 percent match on half of the callers, resulting in 25 percent of the call center’s costs being subsidized by Medicaid. ³
Federal Guidance and National Funding Research

Beyond state legislation on 988, Guidehouse reviewed relevant federal guidance, national publications, and other academic sources for 988-related funding options. **There are several more potential funding approaches to explore for supporting 988 implementation efforts.** Options include, but are not limited to the following:

- Medicaid Technology Claiming
- American Rescue Plan State and Local Recovery Funds
- SAMHSA Mental Health & SA Block Grant
- Medicaid Managed Care
- Commercial Insurers
## Potential Funding Approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Term</th>
<th>Long Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>American Rescue Plan State and Local Recovery Funds</strong></td>
<td><strong>Recommended by U.S. Treasury Guidance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota could allocate some portion of its $980 million in State Recovery Funds and $120 million in capital project funds, as well as coordinate and leverage county and municipal Local Recovery Funds for 988 implementation. U.S. Treasury Guidance of 5/10/21 supports use of funds for crisis hotlines and services.</td>
<td>States may seek federal Medicaid reimbursement for behavioral health services, including crisis services. Waiver opportunities include SMI/SED IMD waivers, as well as broader delivery system transformation waivers. States may seek new payment models under these waivers, including bundled payment for crisis services with enhanced federal reimbursement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SAMHSA Mental Health &amp; SUD Block Grants</strong></td>
<td><strong>Medicaid Administrative and System Claiming</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota could consider allocating a portion of its Supplemental FY 21 MHBG and SABG awards (under ARPA) of $3.08 million and $4.89 million to 988 implementation.</td>
<td>States may leverage federal reimbursement (generally at 50 percent FFP) for administering case management or wraparound crisis services to Medicaid populations. In addition, states should consider submitting APDs (advanced planning documents) to CMS for 75 percent and 90 percent reimbursement for Medicaid system buildouts for 988.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1115 Medicaid Demonstration Waivers</strong></td>
<td><strong>988 End-User Fees</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States may seek federal Medicaid reimbursement for behavioral health services, including crisis services. Waiver opportunities include SMI/SED IMD waivers, as well as broader delivery system transformation waivers. States may seek new payment models under these waivers, including bundled payment for crisis services with enhanced federal reimbursement.</td>
<td>FCC 988 Final Report and Order permits states to impose fees on communication access lines (e.g., landline, mobile, VoIP) to support 988 implementation and operation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medicaid Administrative and System Claiming</strong></td>
<td><strong>State General Funds &amp; Other Non-Fee Funding</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States may leverage federal reimbursement (generally at 50 percent FFP) for administering case management or wraparound crisis services to Medicaid populations. In addition, states should consider submitting APDs (advanced planning documents) to CMS for 75 percent and 90 percent reimbursement for Medicaid system buildouts for 988.</td>
<td>States may utilize state general funds, gifts, grants, investment income, to support 988 implementation and operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>988 End-User Fees</strong></td>
<td><strong>Managed Care &amp; Commercial Insurer Assessment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCC 988 Final Report and Order permits states to impose fees on communication access lines (e.g., landline, mobile, VoIP) to support 988 implementation and operation.</td>
<td>In the longer term, states can leverage managed care contracts for delivery and payment of crisis services. States can require MCOs to help administer the 988 system, contract with any willing crisis provider, and cover 988 system costs. States should also consider how to leverage contribution from commercial insurers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Cost Modeling Assumptions

Call Volume

Guidehouse developed cost models for 988 implementation for two call volume scenarios:

Scenario 1:
- Assumes current inbound NSPL call volumes (~3600 annually) increase by 2/3 in Year 1.
- For outbound calls, chat, and text, the model assumes 1/2 of the Vibrant projected amounts for Year 1.
- For Years 2-5, volume projections were trended upward using percentage increases based on Vibrant’s Growth Model for Projected Contact Volume (low scenario).

Scenario 2:
- Assumes current inbound NSPL call volumes (~3600 annually) increase by 100% in Year 1.
- For outbound calls, chat, and text, the model assumes 2/3 of the Vibrant projected amounts for Year 1.
- For Years 2-5, volume projections were trended upward using percentage increases based on Vibrant’s Growth Model for Projected Contact Volume (medium scenario).

Guidehouse has developed its 988 cost model based on several sources, including information from the existing South Dakota Helpline Center, Vibrant Emotional Health, other state data, and from behavioral health crisis experts. Guidehouse’s cost model identifies both initial first year costs and includes a five-year pro forma that:

- Projects staffing estimates
- Uses appropriate salaries per position type
- Projects dedicated and shared resources
- Accounts for the blended nature of the Helpline Center
Guidehouse informed staffing estimates and salaries based on call volume/contact information obtained from the South Dakota Helpline Center and Vibrant Emotional Health, as well as 911 information obtained from the State.

The Guidehouse cost model assumes that initially and over the first five years the new 988 Suicide Prevention Line (as part of the Helpline Center) would operate out of a single, physical office, without remote workers. Our model also reflects the Helpline Center’s plan to relocate to a larger office space to accommodate staff increases.

The Guidehouse cost model reflects all fixed and variable costs necessary to operate as a suicide prevention call center. It does not include the costs of mental health services.

Call volume will be impacted by a number of factors, including public awareness, marketing, and the range of services call centers can draw upon for referral. The Guidehouse cost model, as a result, incorporated two scenarios of call volume (e.g., low, medium volume projections), trended upward using percentages informed by Vibrant’s Growth Model for Projected Contact Volume and information from the Helpline Center.
Scenario 1 – Low Volume Projection

**Summary**

Scenario 1 assumes current inbound NSPL call volumes (~3600 annually) increase by 2/3 in Year 1. For outbound calls, chat, and text, the model assumes 1/2 of the Vibrant projected amounts for Year 1. For Years 2-5, volume projections were trended upward using percentage increases based on Vibrant's growth model (low scenario).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Volume Projections</td>
<td>9,250</td>
<td>13,875</td>
<td>15,417</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>20,042</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inbound calls</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outbound calls</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>433</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chat</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>4,200</td>
<td>4,667</td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td>6,067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>542</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labor Expenses Subtotal</td>
<td>$1,053,984.89</td>
<td>$1,138,567.24</td>
<td>$1,226,111.28</td>
<td>$1,316,701.67</td>
<td>$1,488,297.55</td>
<td>$6,223,662.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility and Equipment Expenses Subtotal</td>
<td>$138,745.00</td>
<td>$32,084.10</td>
<td>$32,725.78</td>
<td>$33,380.30</td>
<td>$36,840.58</td>
<td>$273,775.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Operational Expenses Subtotal</td>
<td>$47,500.00</td>
<td>$37,500.00</td>
<td>$27,500.00</td>
<td>$22,500.00</td>
<td>$22,500.00</td>
<td>$157,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Overall</td>
<td>$1,240,229.89</td>
<td>$1,208,151.34</td>
<td>$1,286,337.06</td>
<td>$1,372,581.96</td>
<td>$1,547,638.13</td>
<td>$6,654,938.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The FCC initial order required only phone connectivity to 988; subsequent FCC dockets are proposing to add text and chat.*
Scenario 2 – Medium Volume Projection

Summary

Scenario 2 assumes current inbound NSPL call volumes (~3600 annually) increase by 100% in Year 1. For outbound calls, chat, and text, the model assumes 2/3 of the Vibrant projected amounts for Year 1. For Years 2-5, volume projections were trended upward using percentage increases based on Vibrant’s growth model (medium scenario).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Volume Projections</td>
<td>11,533</td>
<td>17,941</td>
<td>23,067</td>
<td>26,911</td>
<td>30,756</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inbound calls</td>
<td>7,200</td>
<td>11,200</td>
<td>14,400</td>
<td>16,800</td>
<td>19,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outbound calls</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>711</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chat</td>
<td>3,733</td>
<td>5,807</td>
<td>7,467</td>
<td>8,711</td>
<td>9,956</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>889</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Expenses Subtotal</td>
<td>$1,116,242.39</td>
<td>$1,202,069.89</td>
<td>$1,355,656.69</td>
<td>$1,580,974.29</td>
<td>$1,960,024.20</td>
<td>$7,214,967.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility and Equipment Expenses Subtotal</td>
<td>$141,325.00</td>
<td>$32,084.10</td>
<td>$35,410.01</td>
<td>$38,856.13</td>
<td>$45,218.60</td>
<td>$292,893.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Operational Expenses Subtotal</td>
<td>$47,500.00</td>
<td>$37,500.00</td>
<td>$27,500.00</td>
<td>$22,500.00</td>
<td>$22,500.00</td>
<td>$157,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Overall</td>
<td>$1,305,067.39</td>
<td>$1,271,653.99</td>
<td>$1,418,566.70</td>
<td>$1,642,330.42</td>
<td>$2,027,742.80</td>
<td>$7,665,361.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The FCC initial order required only phone connectivity to 988; subsequent FCC dockets are proposing to add text and chat.
Comparison: Low vs. Medium Volume Scenarios

**Scenario 1 – Low Volume**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Volume Projections</td>
<td>9,250</td>
<td>13,875</td>
<td>15,417</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>20,042</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing Projections* - Mental Health Counselor FTEs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing Projections* - Supervisor FTEs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Expenses Subtotal</td>
<td>$1,053,984.89</td>
<td>$1,138,567.24</td>
<td>$1,226,111.28</td>
<td>$1,316,701.67</td>
<td>$1,488,297.55</td>
<td>$6,223,662.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility and Equipment Expenses Subtotal</td>
<td>$138,745.00</td>
<td>$32,084.10</td>
<td>$32,725.78</td>
<td>$33,380.30</td>
<td>$36,840.58</td>
<td>$273,775.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Operational Expenses Subtotal</td>
<td>$47,500.00</td>
<td>$37,500.00</td>
<td>$27,500.00</td>
<td>$22,500.00</td>
<td>$22,500.00</td>
<td>$157,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Overall</td>
<td>$1,240,229.89</td>
<td>$1,208,151.34</td>
<td>$1,286,337.06</td>
<td>$1,372,581.96</td>
<td>$1,547,638.13</td>
<td>$6,654,938.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scenario 2 – Medium Volume**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Volume Projections</td>
<td>11,533</td>
<td>17,941</td>
<td>23,067</td>
<td>26,911</td>
<td>30,756</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing Projections* - Mental Health Counselor FTEs</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing Projections* - Supervisor FTEs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Expenses Subtotal</td>
<td>$1,116,242.39</td>
<td>$1,202,069.89</td>
<td>$1,355,656.69</td>
<td>$1,580,974.29</td>
<td>$1,960,024.20</td>
<td>$7,214,967.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility and Equipment Expenses Subtotal</td>
<td>$141,325.00</td>
<td>$32,084.10</td>
<td>$35,410.01</td>
<td>$38,856.13</td>
<td>$45,218.60</td>
<td>$292,893.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Operational Expenses Subtotal</td>
<td>$47,500.00</td>
<td>$37,500.00</td>
<td>$27,500.00</td>
<td>$22,500.00</td>
<td>$22,500.00</td>
<td>$157,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Overall</td>
<td>$1,305,067.39</td>
<td>$1,271,653.99</td>
<td>$1,418,566.70</td>
<td>$1,642,330.42</td>
<td>$2,027,742.80</td>
<td>$7,665,361.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This slide only identifies select staffing roles. See detailed Cost Models for all staffing positions and FTEs.
Most states are still in the planning stages for 988 implementation. South Dakota is positioned to take advantage of information and approaches in other states as they move forward.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is presently considering expanding 988 requirements to include text and chat for call centers. Guidehouse took these additions into account in modeling costs.

Projected initial costs for the implementation of a dedicated call center capability for 988 suicide prevention response are in the range of $1.2 million for the initial year and approximately $6.6 million for the first five years (using the low volume projection) is consistent with anticipated costs by the existing Helpline Center.

Contact volume (phone, text and chat) is likely to be influenced by a number of factors – (1) the amount of marketing and public awareness at the federal, state, and local level; (2) the performance of the call center in terms of timely answered calls and ability to quickly connect callers to needed services; and (3) the public’s perception that the new 988 Helpline is a success.

Available and trained workforce to support 988 will be a critical component. 24/7 operation requires over 21 shifts per week. The work is demanding and at times difficult. Guidehouse’s projected salaries reflect the investment in a specialized workforce.

Projection of costs associated with upgrading legacy telephone switches was not possible at this time due to lack of information by legacy telephone companies and their customers.
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AGENDA

- PROJECT OVERVIEW
- APPROACH
- INTERVIEWS
- FINDINGS
- RECOMMENDATION
++ PROJECT OVERVIEW

++ Research the Current Landscape of Electronic Behavioral Health Registries
   ++ Identify four other States
   ++ Develop and Use a State Interview Protocol

++ Obtain Additional Information from Platform Vendors
   ++ Build from Existing Vendor List
   ++ Update information

++ Elicit Requirements from Stakeholders
   ++ Develop and use a Stakeholder Interview Protocol
   ++ Develop a comprehensive requirements document

++ Write and Submit a Final Report
+ Interview South Dakota Stakeholders
+ Interview States
+ Collect Information from Vendors
+ Review/Discuss Findings
STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEWS

+ The Helpline Center
+ South Eastern Behavioral Healthcare
+ Capital Area Counseling Services
+ Avera, Sioux Falls
+ Monument Health
+ National Alliance on Mental Illness South Dakota (NAMI SD)
+ Face IT TOGETHER
+ Dakota Counseling Institute
+ Lewis & Clark Behavioral Health Services
+ Avera Sacred Heart, Yankton
+ Avera St. Mary’s Hospital, Pierre
+ Human Services Center
+ Avera St. Luke’s, Aberdeen
+ Volunteers of America, Dakotas
+ Behavior Management System
KEY FINDINGS: REQUIREMENTS FROM STAKEHOLDERS

+ **Stakeholder with Capacity**
  + Ideal: real-time updates linked to existing capacity management systems; otherwise, quick and easy to update
  + Open to key stakeholders (ER, CMHCs, Mobile Crisis Units, etc.) but not the general public
  + No bed guarantees—not all beds are the same
  + Not a substitute for formal intake/assessment process

+ **Stakeholders Seeking Capacity**
  + Streamline finding beds—particularly adolescent beds during the school year
  + Expand to other services (ARFs, SUD beds/resources, Mobile Crisis)

+ **Alignment with 211/988**
  + Access vs integration
STATE REQUIREMENTS

+ Easily managed access controls
  + Administrators that update capacity
  + Users that seek capacity
+ Real- or near-real-time
+ Secure information
+ Robust reporting
  + Frequency of updates and utilization
  + Downloadable/exportable data
  + Aligned with access requirements
+ Scalable to address future needs
+ Low cost to purchase/configure
+ Low cost to maintain/sustain
**STATES**

+ Colorado:
  + Juvare, but in the midst of an RFP process

+ Iowa:
  + Five Points/CareMatch

+ Vermont:
  + MN Mental Health Access

**VENDORS**

+ Appriiss:
  + OpenBeds

+ Five Points:
  + CareMatch

+ Netsmart
  + MyAvatar™

+ Vermont E-Bed Board
## BH Bed Registry High Level Requirements

**Note:** order does not connote priority.

### Functional Requirements

1. Display open bed availability by selected categories, traits, or parameters for IP psych and crisis beds at multiple locations across SD
2. Display beds that are open but in process of being filled
3. Display beds that are becoming available via anticipated discharges
4. Provide data for viewing 24/7/365
5. Enable bed availability entry multiple times daily (e.g., as beds open, 8 hours)
6. Real-time system recalculation and display of updated information with display of last update time

### Security and Information Protection

22. Require user account and password to enter, update, report on data
23. Permission to view, enter, update data, run reports, etc. granted based on role
24. Assignment of User Accounts and permission sets can be managed by DBH
25. Allow for different public vs. private information display
26. If hosted, all data must be available to the State on demand in a standard usable format for queries and reporting

### Performance Requirements

27. Be reliable in operation, access, and availability (limited system downtime)
28. The system must be able to accommodate simultaneous users (for both availability update and viewing) at multiple institutions without noticeable degradation in response time
29. The application causes no negative impact on network performance at user sites
30. The front-end application must be able to be run in standard current browser versions
31. No client software installation is required

### Training, Documentation, and Support

32. Initial training provided for administrators and end-users sufficient to enable them to use and maintain the system productively
33. Develop training and documentation to ensure uniform use across all facilities
34. User and administrative documentation is provided

### Business Functions

35. Integrate with providers delivering crisis mobile, stabilization, or respite services, as well as align with the longer-term vision for the 988 call line
36. Explore ways to integrate with existing EMRs/Systems and single-sign on (SSO) access and real-time updates
37. Accessible by other stakeholders and end users, such as judicial service, first responders, police, and others who require the system as an available services resource locator and for coordination of care
38. Explore integration with the state PDMP program
39. Integrate with the State HIE
40. Integrate with the State’s social health information exchange ecosystem to support interoperable and social drivers of health (SDOH) closed loop referrals and decision support across the State.
CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

+ **Scenario 1: State Developed Solution with Minimal Functionality**
  + Built by the South Dakota Bureau of Information and Telecommunications (BIT)
  + “Bare bones” minimal functionality

+ **Scenario 2: Vendor Developed Solution with Phased Implementation**
  + Implement minimal functionality in the first phase (e.g., a bed registry)
  + Build functionality in set phases
  + May require a procurement process, which will add time and cost to the solution.

+ **Scenario 3: Vendor Developed Solution “Big Bang”**
  + Like Scenario 2 with all business requirements in one phase.
  + Shorter time frame overall, but requires “pre-knowledge” of all capabilities
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Developed Solution</td>
<td>- Low cost</td>
<td>- Limited functionality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Would not require an RFP</td>
<td>- Limited BIT bandwidth; may not be able to build out quickly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Limited functionality with lack of ability to evolve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor Solution – Phased</td>
<td>- Enables State to quickly implement working registry and build out</td>
<td>- Higher cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>features over time</td>
<td>- May require RFP process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Enables State to fund system over time</td>
<td>- May present uncertain funding landscape in the future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Allows State to further define requirements over time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- State can leverage vendor solutions/features built for other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>states</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Proven solution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor Solution – “Big Bang”</td>
<td>- Enables State to have all features in shortened timeframe</td>
<td>- Highest cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Allows State to fund system in one increment</td>
<td>- May require RFP process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- State can leverage vendor solutions/features built for other</td>
<td>- May result in solution that is more robust/complicated than necessary,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>states</td>
<td>including payment for features that are not used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Proven solution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Phase One:**
- Strong stakeholder buy-in
- Streamline psych bed capacity
- Early Wins:
  - Take over the SUD capacity spreadsheet
  - Appropriate Regional Facilities (when live)

**Phase Two+:**
- Service Coverage:
  - Crisis Services
  - Peer and support services
  - Other Psychiatric Services
  - Other SUD services
- Capability Expansion
  - Facilitate referrals/assessment reviews
• **Grow capabilities with interest**
  - Building a bed board capability will take time to be fully adopted
  - Stakeholders need to feel comfortable

• **System should be able to grow with SD’s Needs**
  - Starting small may not yield significant efficiencies to start, but the value of a system will grow over time as it becomes the go-to resources for BH capacity in the State

• **Future Alignments**
  - As the State’s Health IT capabilities change—interoperability with EHRs, etc.—longer-term expansion opportunities
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