SOUTH DAKOTA BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF PSYCHOLOGISTS
BOARD MEETING MINUTES
April 25 & 26, 2013
Fort Pierre, SD

Members Present: Frederick Magnavito, Ph.D., President; Thomas Stanage, Ph.D., Vice President; Bradley Woldt, Ph.D., Secretary; Jerry Buchkoski, Ph.D., Member; Karen Wiemers, Ph.D., Member; Dan Green, Lay Member; and Lorin Pankratz, Lay Member.

Members Absent: None.

Others Present: Carol Tellinghuisen, Executive Administrator; Paula Spaergur, Administrative Assistant; Brooke Bohnenkamp, Department of Social Services Liaison to the Board; Steven Blair, Attorney General’s Office; and Brent Kempema, Attorney General’s Office.

President Magnavito called the meeting to order at 2:15PM CDT.

Executive Session: Oral Examination Applicants #319, #322, #308, and #320: The Board entered executive session at 2:16PM on a unanimous vote based on a motion by Buchkoski and a second by Green for the purpose of administering oral examinations to applicants #319, #322, #308, and #320. Wiemers moved and Woldt seconded a motion to exit executive session at 6:25PM. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

Vote on Applicants #319, #322, and #308: The Board voted to ratify the licenses for Applicants #319, #322, and #308 by virtue of passing the oral exam and satisfying all licensing requirements. The vote was unanimous based on a motion by Wiemers and a second by Stanage.

President Magnavito recessed the meeting at 6:26PM.

President Magnavito reconvened the meeting at 8:31AM on April 26, 2013.

Executive Session: Oral Examination Applicants #321, #315, and #310: The Board entered executive session at 8:32AM on a unanimous vote based on a motion by Buchkoski for the purpose of administering oral examinations to applicants #321, #315, and #310. Wiemers moved and Buchkoski seconded a motion to exit executive session at 11:09AM. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

Vote on Applicants #321 and #315: The Board voted to ratify the licenses for Applicants #321 and #315 by virtue of passing the oral exam and satisfying all licensing requirements. The vote was unanimous based on a motion by Stanage and a second by Woldt, with Magnavito abstaining on Applicant #321.

Vote on Applicant #310: The Board voted to deny the license for Applicant #310 by not having achieved a 75% passing grade on the oral examination. The vote was unanimous based on a motion by Pankratz and a second by Stanage.
Approval of Minutes from October 18, 2012 Meeting and January 29, 2013 Teleconference: Wiemers moved and Stanage seconded the approval of the minutes with corrections. Motion carried on a unanimous vote.

Recognition of Efforts: President Magnavito thanks Dr. Stanage for his efforts and testimony regarding the Licensing Act revisions on Senate Bill 24.

For the Record: For the record, the South Dakota Board of Examiners of Psychologists expresses disappointment in its unsuccessful effort to have the 2013 South Dakota Legislature enact licensing act revisions designed to provide the Board with the means to help assure that only qualified applicants for psychology licensure are licensed to provide services to the citizens of South Dakota. The Board will continue to discharge its responsibility in exercising its mandate to protect the public welfare to the best of its ability through evaluation of increasingly cumbersome applicant credentials for licensure, and to that end, the Board will again propose vital revisions of the licensing act during the 2014 legislative session.

FY 2013 Financial Update: Spargur reported on the finances through the month ending 3/31/13. Current revenue is $9,475.36. Expenses are $45,604.27. Cash on hand is $13,647.39. Pankratz moved and Green seconded the motion to approve the minutes.

Executive Secretary Contract Renewal: Pankratz moved and Wiemers seconded a motion to approve the one-year contract proposed by Carol Tellinghuisen, Executive Administrator. Motion carried on a unanimous vote.

Rules Revisions: The Board reviewed a number of proposed rules revisions:

- 20:60:05:04: Renewal fee. Increase the license renewal fee to $300.
- 20:60:06:01: Supervisory relationship. Supervision must be provided by one or more psychologists actively licensed at the doctoral level.
- 20:60:08:01: Supervised internship. In addition to the requirements in SDCL 36-27A-12(3), a supervised psychology internship must meet the following requirements:
  1) The internship must be an organized training program that is at the time of the applicant's participation in the internship program, accredited by the American Psychological Association, the Canadian Psychological Association, or is an organized training program with an application for accreditation accepted by the APA or the CPA for continuation in the accreditation process, or an internship with membership in the Association of Psychology Postdoctoral and Internship Centers (APPCC); and
2) Any applicant who entered an internship prior to August 31, 2013, is entitled to apply for licensure under the provisions of 20:60:08:01, as the provisions of 20:60:08:01 existed on August 30, 2013.

Buchkoski moved Wiemers seconded a motion to approve the recommended rules revisions as presented by Magnavito. Motion carried on a unanimous vote.

**Executive Session: Oral Examination Applicant #318 and Complaints/Investigations:** The Board entered executive session at 12:44PM on a unanimous vote based on a motion by Woldt and a second by Green for the purpose of administering an oral examination to applicant #318 and to discuss complaints and investigations. The Board exited executive session at 2:52PM on a unanimous vote based on a motion by Pankratz and a second by Wiemers.

**NJ #203, #204 and #205:** The Board determined that, in these three cases, the Board had no grounds to pursue a complaint.

**Complaint #202:** Magnavito recommended that Complaint #202 be dismissed based on the complaint being unfounded in fact (per 36-27A-34.1) with the complaint expunged from licensee’s record. The recommendation passed unanimously on a motion by Stanage and a second by Wiemers.

**Complaint #200:** Disciplinary action taken against licensee is to be withdrawn from the National Practitioners Data Bank on a unanimous vote based on a motion by Pankratz and a second by Woldt.

**Vote on Applicants #320 and #318:** The Board voted to ratify the license for Applicant #318 by virtue of passing the oral exam and satisfying all licensing requirements. The vote was unanimous based on a motion by Pankratz and a second by Green. The Board voted to grant licensure under SDCL 36-27A-11 to Applicant #320, having achieved a passing grade on the oral exam, and who holds a valid license to practice psychology in the State of Maine, and who completed a doctoral degree in psychology in 1991 in satisfaction of SDCL 36-27A prior to the 2007 revision of SDCL 36-27A. The vote was unanimous based on a motion by Pankratz and a second by Green.

President Magnavito adjourned the meeting at 3:00PM CDT following a unanimous vote based on a motion by Stanage and a second by Wiemers.

Respectfully submitted,

Bradley Woldt, Ph.D.
Secretary